UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/519,477	12/30/2004	Hiroaki Hamada	0033-0971PUS1	2658	
	7590 04/23/200 ART KOLASCH & BI	EXAMINER			
PO BOX 747	CH VA 22040 0747	HSU, AMY R			
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2622		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/23/2009	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

(s)
ET AL.

	AMY HSU	2622	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>01 April 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date that the been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extra under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor</li> </ol>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		cause
<ul> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett</li> <li>appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	•	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-13.	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☑ will ided below or appended.	l be entered and an e:	xplanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a ).
10.		•	
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>		condition for allowan	ce because:
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/NHAN T TRAN/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2622	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant's argue after final that the prior art cited in the final rejection, particularly Sogabe (US 7176964) does not teach an image playback unit for continuously and simultaneously displaying on a display unit the plurality of original image data while the continuous photographing function is set.

Examiner maintains that the prior art and final rejection teach the above limitation. Sogabe teaches the option of at least two image pickup modes: single pickup mode and continous pickup mode (Col 4 Lines 27-28). The user has a choice between the two, and in the case that the user chooses continuous pick up mode, then there will be a playback event that simultaneously plays back the continuous frame information stored containing the continuously captured image data (Col 6 Lines 34-36). Thus, Sogabe teaches two different possibilities, one of the possibilities is that the user selects continuous playback mode and photos are captured continuously with one touch of the shutter button and subsequently the images are played back simultaneously and continuously. This is as opposed to the other possibility that the user chooses single capture mode which will result in single image playback. Therefore the first possibility teaches the instant application's claims. The instant application does not limit the reproduction mode to not be set. The last line of claim 1 which reads "...while the continuous photographing function is set..." is interpreted to mean the continuous photographing mode is set as opposed to the single capture mode is set at the start of operation, and therefore at the time of playback, continuous images will be displayed. The portion of claim 1 cited does not have to be interpreted to mean the capture mode is set, and the reproduction mode has not been set, as is the applicant's interpretation and is not supported by the claim language. The claim language does not limit whether the reproduction mode is set or not. Thus examiner maintains the final rejection.